Exhibit 4



Deposition of: **Dennis L. Skinner , Jr.**

November 25, 2019

In the Matter of:

Panzarella Et Al Vs. Navient Solutions Inc

Veritext Legal Solutions

800.808.4958 | calendar-de@veritext.com |

	Page 1		
1			
2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
	EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA		
3			
4	_		
_	ELIZABETH PANZARELLA and : CIVIL ACTION		
5	JOSHUA PANZARELLA, :		
_	individually and on :		
6	<pre>behalf of all others : similarly situated, :</pre>		
7	Plaintiffs :		
,	•		
8	vs. :		
	:		
9	NAVIENT SOLUTIONS, LLC, :		
	Defendant : NO. 2:18-cv-03735 PBT		
10			
11			
	MONDAY, NOVEMBER 25, 2019		
12			
13			
14	Video Deposition of DENNIS L. SKINNER, JR. taken at GREENBERG TRAURIG, 1007 N. Orange Street,		
15	Suite 1200, Wilmington, Delaware, commencing at 9:30		
16	a.m., before John P. Donnelly, a Registered		
17	Professional Reporter, and Notary Public in and for		
18	the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and the State of		
19	Delaware.		
20			
21			
22	VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS		
	Registered Professional Reporters		
23	300 Delaware Avenue, Suite 815		
	Wilmington, DE 19801		
24	(302)571-0510		

	Page 2
1	
1 2	APPEARANCES:
4	FRANCIS MAILMAN SOUMILAS
3	BY: JAMES A. FRANCIS, ESQUIRE
3	1600 Market Street
4	Suite 2510
-	Philadelphia, PA 19103
5	215-735-8600
J	jfrancis@consumerlaw.com
6	Representing the Plaintiffs
7	
	LAW OFFICES OF ROBERT P. COCCO
8	BY: ROBERT P. COCCO, ESQUIRE
	1500 Walnut Street
9	Suite 900
	Philadelphia, PA 9102
10	215-351-0200
	rcocco@rcn.com
11	Representing the Plaintiffs
12	
	GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP
13	BY: LISA SIMONETTI, ESQUIRE
	1840 Century Park East
14	Suite 1900
1 -	Los Angeles, CA 90067 310-586-7824
15	simonetti@gtlaw.com
16	Representing the Defendant
17	Representing the belefidant
± /	
18	
19	ALSO PRESENT:
2 0	
21	
22	
23	
2 4	

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

2.3

24

Page 4

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We are going on the record at 9:36, on

November 25, 2019. Please note that the microphones are sensitive and may pick up whispering and private conversations.

Please turn off all cell phones or place them away from the microphones as they can interfere with the deposition audio.

This is media unit number one of the video deposition of Dennis Skinner in the matter of Elizabeth and Joshua Panzarella versus Navient Solutions, filed in the US District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, case number 2:18-cv-03735 PBT. The deposition is being held at Greenberg Traurig located 1007 North Orange Street, Wilmington, Delaware. My name is Michael Varincovitch from the firm Veritext, I am the videographer. The court reporter is John Donnelly of the firm Veritext. Would counsel please announce their appearance for the record.

MR. FRANCIS: Yes, this is Jim
Francis, from Francis Mailman Soumilas, on

Case 2:18-cv-03735-PBT Document 67-5 Filed 03/20/20 Page 6 of 20 Dennis L. Skinner , Jr. DENNIS L. SKINNER, JR.

	Page 5
1	behalf of the plaintiff. And with me is my
2	co-counsel, Bob Cocco.
3	MS. SIMONETTI: Lisa Simonetti for
4	defendant.
5	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is now
6	9:37, would the court reporter please swear
7	in the witness.
8	DENNIS L. SKINNER, JR., having been
9	duly sworn or affirmed, testified as
10	follows:
11	MR. FRANCIS: Before I begin, I will
12	just place one correction to the
13	introduction on the record, and that is
14	Mr. Skinner is appearing pursuant to a rule
15	30(b)(1) in his own capacity, as well as
16	or he was noticed by way of a Rule 30(b(6)
17	notice of deposition. We will determine
18	later whether or not he is actually sitting
19	in that capacity, but I just want to make it
20	clear that there were two deposition notices
21	served for today.
22	Sir, would you please state and spell
23	your full name for the record?
24	A. Yes, my name is Dennis L. Skinner,

	Page 27
1	Q. Okay. And by that do you mean what
2	you turned into one or more search queries?
3	A. That is correct.
4	Q. All right. And as you sit here
5	today, are you generally familiar with the search
6	and query capabilities of the HADOOP system?
7	A. That is correct.
8	Q. And did you utilize those search and
9	query capabilities in connection with fulfilling the
LO	Baker queries?
L1	A. That is correct.
L2	Q. All right. And did you actually
L3	yourself design the search queries that were run in
L4	Baker?
L5	A. That is correct.
L6	Q. Okay. So let me begin by asking you
L 7	to summarize; what was your role in connection with
L8	the Baker settlement by way of obtaining data from
L 9	the system?
20	A. I was the majority stakeholder
21	pulling the data for Baker.
22	Q. Okay. And what did you actually do
23	in connection with the Baker settlement?
24	A. I pulled all calls from the ININ

Page 28 dialer, matched that up to telephone numbers, and 1 identified two that the telephone number reference borrower, co-borrower flags. 3 Q. Now, in connection with doing 4 Okay. that, did you make any records of that, in other 5 6 words, is there any -- can you go back and see what you obtained? 7 I still have some of the data sets. 8 Α. 9 Yes. And what format do you have 10 Ο. Okav. those data sets? 11 They are in HADOOP. They are data 12 Α. tables. 13 And what's contained within those 14 Ο. 15 data sets or data tables? 16 Α. Names, telephone numbers, those flags that I referenced. 17 So do those data sets and tables 18 Ο. basically contain the results of the search and 19 20 queries that you ran in connection with Baker? That is correct. 21 Α. 2.2 Q. All right. So I want to dig down a 2.3 little bit more than that. One of the things that I 24 think you mentioned in connection with the Baker

	Page 36	
1	Q. Yes.	
2	A. I think there is a category called	
3	reference.	
4	Q. Yes.	
5	A. But I think we pulled in more we	
6	do more than less. So we pull in anything that is	
7	other than a borrower is what we pulled into the	
8	Baker.	
9	Q. Okay. So would this, like I was	
10	asking before, so are you saying that the people	
11	that you pulled in as part of the query would	
12	include not just references, but if there was any	
13	other third party associated with the account?	
14	A. Anybody to the account, yes.	
15	Q. Okay. And do you know how many	
16	people met that profile?	
17	A. I unfortunately I don't.	
18	Q. Approximately?	
19	A. Not even again, I would have to go	
20	back. I haven't looked at that data specifics.	
21	Q. If you went back to the system you	
22	could find that out?	
23	A. That is correct.	
24	Q. And am I correct for all of these	

	Page 37
1	different groups that you pulled, you could identify
2	those numbers. Is that right?
3	A. That is correct.
4	Q. All right. Now, were you also able
5	to identify borrower numbers?
6	A. That is correct.
7	Q. Okay. People who were listed as
8	being a borrower. Is that right?
9	A. That is correct.
10	Q. Now, were you able to determine
11	whether or not there was an overlap between people
12	who fell within the category of credit reference or
13	any other third party, and borrower?
14	A. I did not look into that.
15	Q. Okay. Could that have been done?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. You could see, am I correct, sir, by
18	Googling or excuse me, by I'm so used to
19	Googling by querying or searching HADOOP, you
20	could identify a group of people who met both the
21	profile of a credit reference, and also came back as
22	a borrower. Is that right?
23	A. That is correct.
24	Q. All right. Am I correct that there

Case 2:18-cv-03735-PBT Document 67-5 Filed 03/20/20 Page 11 of 20 Dennis L. Skinner , Jr. DENNIS L. SKINNER, JR.

	Page 70
1	Q. Okay. And do you have any idea why
2	if somebody were being identified as a borrower, or
3	a co-borrower, they would also be a credit reference
4	for that same loan?
5	A. For the same loan?
6	Q. Yes.
7	A. I find that not plausible. I mean,
8	anything is plausible, but I just don't think I
9	don't know why you would be a reference on your own
10	loan.
11	Q. Okay.
12	MR. FRANCIS: Lisa, I am going to
13	mark NSL802 through 817. Can you mark this
14	as Skinner 2, please. And then let's mark
15	Navient's objections to the amended notice
16	of deposition as Skinner 3, please.
17	
18	(Whereupon the court reporter marked
19	for identification purposes Skinner Exhibits
20	2 and 3.)
21	
22	BY MR. FRANCIS:
23	Q. All right, just I am going to start
24	with Skinner 3, Mr. Skinner, first, then go to

2.

2.2

2.3

Page 71

Skinner 2. Just works that way. As I mentioned at the beginning of the deposition, counsel for the plaintiff in this case served upon Navient what's called a notice of deposition pursuant to Federal Rule 30(b(6), and what that does is it asks the company to produce a witness to answer certain topics, or address certain topics. Somebody who is knowledgable about the topics. So I want to find out about whether you have knowledge about the topics that were served in this case. So the 30(b(6) first topic in case pertained to a witness who has knowledge regarding the following.

"The number of persons who were listed as a credit reference on a student loan application." And two, "Called by NSL on a cellular telephone number using dialing technology manufactured and/or licensed by Interactive Intelligence from October 16, 2013, to July 3, 2018, but who were not sent notice of the Baker class settlement."

Do you know the answer to that question?

- A. No.
- Q. Okay. Could you find out the answer

Page 72 1 to that question? I could find out the answer to the 2. Α. question. 3 And could you find out that 4 Ο. Okay. answer to the question utilizing the data that you 5 6 have been testifying about for a little while now? 7 Well, no -- actually, I apologize. Α. 8 If I didn't provide it already, I can't give you 9 anything I don't have. So -- okay -- so the question was: Can I give you the answer to this? 10 11 I apologize. The answer is no. 12 Q. Why can you not? You have topic number one in front of you? 13 Topic number one, yes. 14 Α. 15 Why can you not? Ο. 16 Α. Because it's everybody that we 17 already identified. So if I can't -- if I didn't identify them the first time, how can I identify 18 19 them the second time? That's why I am confused. 20 I'm sorry. So my question to you was; you 21 Q. Okay. were able to identify all of the numbers that were 2.2 2.3 associated with a credit reference. I think you 24 have testified to that extensively. Is that

Case 2:18-cv-03735-PBT Document 67-5 Filed 03/20/20 Page 14 of 20 Dennis L. Skinner , Jr. DENNIS L. SKINNER, JR.

		Page 76
1	Q.	Yes.
2	Α.	That is what was Baker.
3	Q.	Could you do it?
4	Α.	That's what Baker was.
5	Q.	Okay. Could you what's the no,
6	no what's th	ne order you're using?
7	Α.	No, no borrower, no co-borrower, yes
8	reference.	
9	Q.	Okay.
10	Α.	Which is other.
11	Q.	Could you identify yes, yes, yes?
12	Α.	I could do yes, yes, yes.
13	Q.	Okay. That's my question.
14	Α.	I apologize.
15	Q.	Yes. So you could identify the
16	people who had	those three flags. Correct?
17	Α.	That is correct.
18	Q.	All right. And you could compare
19	those people wh	no met the three flags to the people
20	for whom you p	rovided to counsel, and get the
21	difference in t	the numbers?
22	Α.	Off the top of my head, I believe
23	yes.	
24	Q.	Yeah. So I think as I said before,

Page 77 1 you could identify, correct me if I'm wrong, sir, 2. all of the people who fell within Elizabeth and Josh Panzarella's category, meaning they had a reference 3 flag, and they also had a borrower, co-borrower 4 You could identify all those people. 5 Is that 6 correct? I could identify telephone numbers 7 Α. 8 that met that demographic. 9 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Counsel, just so you know there is about a minute remaining 10 11 before I have to change discs. MR. FRANCIS: Well, then why don't we 12 go off the video. 13 Time is now 11:19, 14 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: 15 this concludes disc one. 16 (A short recess was taken.) 17 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Time is now 11:36, this begins disc two. 18 BY MR. FRANCIS: 19 20 Mr. Skinner, turning back to Skinner Ο. The next topic in the notice of deposition asked 2.1 for a witness who was knowledgeable regarding the 2.2 following: Number two, "The number of persons who 2.3 24 were listed as a credit reference on a student loan

Page 78 application called by NSL on a cellular telephone 1 2. number using dialing technology manufactured and/or licensed by Noble Systems Corporation and/or 3 Interactive Intelligence, from September 1, 2012, to 4 the present and who disputed owing the debt and/or 5 6 being called by NSL." Do you see that topic? 7 That is correct. Α. 8 Q. Do you know that number? 9 Α. No. Have you performed any efforts or 10 Ο. 11 have you taken any steps to try to ascertain the 12 number to topic number two? 13 Α. No. Would you agree with me that 14 Ο. Okav. 15 as before, you could, if you wanted to, identify 16 persons who were listed as a credit reference on a 17 student loan application? That is correct. 18 Α. Okay. And you could identify people 19 Ο. 20 who were called by NSL on a cellular telephone number using dialing technology manufactured and/or 2.1 2.2 licensed by Noble Systems Corporation and/or 2.3 Interactive Intelligence? 24 That is correct. Α.

Page 81 1 were called by NSL after July 3, 2018, on a cellular 2. telephone number using dialing technology manufactured and/or licensed by Interactive 3 Technology." Do you see that? 4 Α. Yes. 5 6 0. Do you know the answer to that 7 question? 8 Α. No, I do not. 9 Q. Do you have any information regarding 10 the number of persons who fall within that category. 11 Α. Not at the present time. 12 0. Okay. Is there any reason that you know of that you couldn't perform a query and 13 generate the same type of information you generated 14 15 in the Baker settlement now? 16 Α. Yes, I could redo Baker to the same 17 parameters now. Yes. So am I correct that if to 18 0. Okay. 19 this day, credit references were still being called 20 post the Baker time period, and you were asked to identify a Baker two or a second Baker class, you 2.1 2.2 could identify that. Is that right? 2.3 Hypothetically, yeah. I mean, if Α. 24 it's in the interest of the company to have me put

Page 82 1 that on my priority list, yes. But right now I 2. don't have that on my list and I have other priorities right now, unfortunately. 3 I am sure. Okay. Now, would you 4 Q. please turn to Baker -- excuse me, Skinner 2. 5 Okay. 6 Take as much time as you need to review this. 7 is a series of pages, or looks like a document from 8 the Artiva system that was produced in this case. 9 And I want to ask you some questions about it. 10 Α. Okay. 11 Would you identify this record -- do Q. 12 you understand -- do you under -- can you identify this record in general? 13 In general, these look like comments. 14 15 0. Okav. And would this -- when the 16 comments -- when you say the word comments, do you 17 mean that these would be the things that would be in that screen 151? 18 Normally, yeah. 19 That's what I would Α. 20 expect to see. Okay. And is that what you think is 21 Q. 2.2 that Skinner 2 is a screen 151 for this particular 2.3 account? 24 Α. Yeah, but it's Artiva. It's a

	Page 88
1	DENNIS L. SKINNER, JR.
2	
3	CERTIFICATE
4	
5	
	I do hereby certify that I am a Notary
6	Public in good standing; that the aforesaid
	testimony was taken before me, pursuant to notice,
7	at the time and place indicated; that said deponent
	was by me duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole
8	truth, and nothing but the truth; that the testimony
	of said deponent was correctly recorded in machine
9	shorthand by me and thereafter transcribed under my
	supervision with computer-aided transcription; that
10	the deposition is a true and correct record of the
	testimony given by the witness; and that I am
11	neither of counsel nor kin to any party in said
	action, nor interested in the outcome thereof.
12	
13	WITNESS my hand and official seal this 3RD
14	day of DECEMBER 2019.
15	
16	John P. Donnelly, RPR
17	
18	
	John P. Donnelly
19	Notary Public
20	
21	
22	
23	Job No. 3768471
24	

VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS COMPANY CERTIFICATE AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Veritext Legal Solutions represents that the foregoing transcript is a true, correct and complete transcript of the colloquies, questions and answers as submitted by the court reporter. Veritext Legal Solutions further represents that the attached exhibits, if any, are true, correct and complete documents as submitted by the court reporter and/or attorneys in relation to this deposition and that the documents were processed in accordance with our litigation support and production standards.

Veritext Legal Solutions is committed to maintaining the confidentiality of client and witness information, in accordance with the regulations promulgated under the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA), as amended with respect to protected health information and the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, as amended, with respect to Personally Identifiable Information (PII). Physical transcripts and exhibits are managed under strict facility and personnel access controls. Electronic files of documents are stored in encrypted form and are transmitted in an encrypted fashion to authenticated parties who are permitted to access the material. Our data is hosted in a Tier 4 SSAE 16 certified facility.

Veritext Legal Solutions complies with all federal and State regulations with respect to the provision of court reporting services, and maintains its neutrality and independence regardless of relationship or the financial outcome of any litigation. Veritext requires adherence to the foregoing professional and ethical standards from all of its subcontractors in their independent contractor agreements.

Inquiries about Veritext Legal Solutions' confidentiality and security policies and practices should be directed to Veritext's Client Services Associates indicated on the cover of this document or at www.veritext.com.